

Appl. No. 10/774,259
 Atty. Docket No. 8637C
 Amdt. dated January 26, 2006
 Reply to Office Action of November 30, 2005
 Customer No. 27752

RECEIVED
 CENTRAL FAX CENTER
 JAN 26 2006

Double Patenting

Claims 1-21 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting for reasons of record at paragraphs 31 and 32 of the office action dated 11/30/2005.

Applicants are submitting a Terminal Disclaimer (attached herewith) therefore overcoming the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.


Conclusion

In view of the previous amendments and remarks, it is submitted that all the claims are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action on all claims is therefore respectfully requested.

If the next action is other than to allow the claims, the favor of a telephonic interview is requested with the undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY


 By _____
 Signature _____
Thibault Fayette

Typed or Printed Name
 Registration No. 56,143
 (513) 634-4856

Date: January 26, 2006
 Customer No. 27752

Page 17 of 17